

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepio.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/620,856 | 07/15/2003 | Stefan Dessloch | SVL920020048US1/3793P | 9144 |
| 45728 IBM ST-SVI | 45728 7590 04/10/2009 EXAMINER | | | INER |
| SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP 2465 E. Bayshore Road, Suite No. 406 PALO ALTO, CA 94303 | | | COLAN, GIOVANNA B | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2162 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/10/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@sawyerlawgroup.com

Application No. Applicant(s) DESSLOCH ET AL. 10/620.856 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit GIOVANNA COLAN 2162 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) GIOVANNA COLAN. (2) Joseph Acayan. (4)____. Date of Interview: 27 March 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)XI No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Witkowski. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. a) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the interview, the examiner and the attorney of record discussed possible amendments to overcome the 101 rejections. Regarding the objection of the specification under 35 U.S.C. 132 (a),

reached, or any other comments: <u>During the interview, the examiner and the attorney of record discussed possible</u>
<u>amendments</u> to overcome the 101 rejections. <u>Regarding the objection of the specification under 35 U.S.C. 132 (a),</u>
<u>applicant proposed to cancel new matter including "...so that the code corresponding to the present invention...in a decentralized manner..." (See attached agenda for further details). No agreement was reached.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | I Section 2 | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| /G. C./ | /John Breene/ | |
| Examiner, Art Unit 2162 | Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2162 | |
| S. Datant and Trademark Office | | |